

identifying data deleted to  
prevent clearly unwarranted  
invasion of personal privacy

**PUBLIC COPY**


U.S. Department of Homeland Security  
20 Mass. Ave., N.W., Rm. A3042  
Washington, DC 20529



U.S. Citizenship  
and Immigration  
Services

H4

FILE:




Office: CALIFORNIA SERVICE CENTER

Date: JAN 24 2005

IN RE:


Applicant:



APPLICATION:

Application for Permission to Reapply for Admission into the United States after  
Deportation or Removal under section 212(a)(9)(A)(iii) of the Immigration and  
Nationality Act, 8 U.S.C. § 1182(a)(9)(A)(iii)

ON BEHALF OF APPLICANT:



INSTRUCTIONS:

This is the decision of the Administrative Appeals Office in your case. All documents have been returned to the office that originally decided your case. Any further inquiry must be made to that office.

Robert P. Wiemann, Director  
Administrative Appeals Office

**DISCUSSION:** The application for permission to reapply for admission after removal was denied by the Director, California Service Center, and is now before the Administrative Appeals Office (AAO) on appeal. The Director's decision will be withdrawn, and the matter will be remanded to him for further action.

The applicant is a native and citizen of Mexico who on December 18, 2000, attempted to procure admission into the United States by fraud and willful misrepresentation of a material fact. The applicant presented a Mexican passport that did not belong to her with a photo altered bio and visa page. The applicant was found inadmissible pursuant to section 212(a)(6)(C)(i) of the Immigration and Nationality Act (the Act), 8 U.S.C. § 1182 (a)(6)(C)(i) for having attempted to procure admission into the United States by fraud and section 212(a)(7)(A)(i)(I) of the Act, 8 U.S.C. § 1182 (a)(7)(A)(i)(I) for being an immigrant not in possession of a valid immigrant visa or lieu document. Consequently the applicant was expeditiously removed from the United States pursuant to section 235(b)(1) of the Act, 8 U.S.C. § 1225(b)(1). The record reflects that the applicant reentered the United States on or about December 19, 2000, without a lawful admission or parole and without permission to reapply for admission in violation of section 276 the Act, 8 U.S.C. § 1326 (a felony). The applicant is inadmissible under section 212(a)(9)(A)(i) of the Act, 8 U.S.C. § 1182(a)(9)(A)(i). The record further reflects that the applicant married a U.S. citizen on October 21, 2000, and she is the beneficiary of an approved Petition for Alien Relative (Form I-130). She seeks permission to reapply for admission into the United States under section 212(a)(9)(A)(iii) of the Act, 8 U.S.C. § 1182(a)(9)(A)(iii) in order to remain in the United States and reside with her U.S. citizen spouse and child.

The Director determined that section 241(a)(5) of the Act, 8 U.S.C. § 1231(a)(5) applies in this matter and that no waiver is available for the applicant's inadmissibility under section 212(a)(9)(A)(i) of the Act. The Director denied the Application for Permission to Reapply for Admission After Removal (Form I-212) accordingly. See *Director's Decision* dated September 2, 2004.

Section 212(a)(9)(A) of the Act states in pertinent part:

(A) Certain aliens previously removed.-

(i) Arriving aliens.- Any alien who has been ordered removed under section 235(b)(1) or at the end of proceedings under section 240 initiated upon the alien's arrival in the United States and who again seeks admission within five years of the date of such removal (or within 20 years in the case of a second or subsequent removal or at any time in the case of an alien convicted of an aggravated felony) is inadmissible.

.....

(iii) Exception. - Clauses (i) and (ii) shall not apply to an alien seeking admission within a period if, prior to the date of the aliens' reembarkation at a place outside the United States or attempt to be admitted from foreign continuous territory, the Attorney General has consented to the aliens' reapplying for admission.

The AAO finds that the Director erred in his decision stating that the applicant is inadmissible without exceptions or waivers pursuant to section 212(a)(9)(A)(i) of the Act. The applicant is eligible to file Form I-212 pursuant to section 212(a)(9)(A)(iii) of the Act, even if she is physically present in the United States. See 8 C.F.R. § 212.2(e).

On appeal, counsel argues that pursuant to the August 13, 2004, Ninth Circuit Court of Appeals decision, *Perez-Gonzalez v. Ashcroft*, 379 F.3d 783 (9<sup>th</sup> Cir. 2004), section 241(a)(5) of the Act is not applicable and the applicant is eligible to file Form I-212.

Section 241(a) detention, release, and removal or aliens ordered removed.-

(5) reinstatement of removal orders against aliens illegally reentering.- if the Attorney General finds that an alien has reentered the United States illegally after having been removed or having departed voluntarily, under an order of removal, the prior order of removal is reinstated from its original date and is not subject to being reopened or reviewed, the alien is not eligible and may not apply for any relief under this Act, and the alien shall be removed under the prior order at any time after the reentry.

The Ninth Circuit Court of Appeals ruled that a Mexican national who returned to the United States following a deportation and had his deportation order reinstated may nonetheless obtain adjustment of status if his Form I-212 is granted. The Ninth Circuit Court of Appeals stated in *Perez-Gonzalez* that: "Given the fact that [REDACTED] applied for the waiver *before* his deportation order was reinstated, he was not yet subject to its terms and, therefore, was not barred from applying for relief." The Court further states: "Prior administrative decisions of the Bureau of Immigration Appeals confirm the fact that permission to reapply is available on a *nunc pro tunc* basis, in which the petitioner receives permission to reapply for admission after he or she has already reentered the country." Finally the Court states: "... if the alien has applied for permission to reapply in the context of an application to adjust status, the INS is required to consider whether to exercise its discretion in the alien's favor before it can proceed with reinstatement proceedings..."

The record of proceedings does not reveal that the applicant's prior removal order was reinstated at the time she filed the Form I-212. Since this case arises in the Ninth Circuit, [REDACTED] is controlling. The applicant is eligible to file a Form I-212 and meets the requirements for receiving *nunc pro tunc* permission to reapply.

The Director denied the Form I-212 because he found that no purpose would be served in approving the application for permission to reapply after deportation, since the applicant was not otherwise eligible for a waiver of all grounds of excludability. As noted above the applicant is eligible to file a Form I-212 pursuant to section 212(a)(9)(A)(iii) of the Act. If the application is granted she will be eligible to file an Application for Waiver of Grounds of Inadmissibility under section 212(i) of the Immigration and Nationality Act, 8 U.S.C. § 1182(i) for her inadmissibility under section 212(a)(6)(C) of the Act, for having attempted to procure admission into the United States by fraud and willful misrepresentation of a material fact.

In view of the foregoing, the Director's decision will be withdrawn and the record will be remanded to him in order to adjudicate the Form I-212 pursuant to section 212(a)(9)(A)(iii) of the Act.

**ORDER:** The Director's decision is withdrawn. The matter is remanded to him for further action consistent with the foregoing discussion.